GT-68

1350 1350 Malan 12end Wash

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9

Docket No. 89-15

In the Matter of :

Motorola, Inc.
Beckman Instruments, Inc.

Siemens Corporation)
Edward H. Johnson, d.b.a. The Strip Joynt)

Marro Plating

Plainville West Salt River Project Advanced Auto Supply

Respondents

Proceeding under Section 106 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, (42 U.S.C. § 9606)

I. Jurisdiction

This Order is issued to Respondents pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (CERCLA), by authority delegated to the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency
(EPA), and redelegated to the EPA regions.

The Director of the Hazardous Waste Management Division,

EPA Region 9, has determined there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare or the environment because of the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the northern portion of the Indian Bend Wash Superfund Site ("IBW" or "IBW Site" or "Site") in Scottsdale, Arizona. The northern portion of the IBW Site is that portion bounded by Chapparal, Scottsdale, and Pima Roads and the Salt River.

: 3

......

This Order directs Respondents to undertake actions that EPA has determined to be necessary to protect the public and the environment from this potential endangerment.

II. Findings of Fact

The following facts are a synopsis of data contained in the Administrative Record of EPA's Record of Decision for the IBW Site, dated September 21, 1988. This Record of Decision (ROD) is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

- A. In 1981, the Arizona Department of Health Services determined that volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were present in municipal wells located at IBW.
- B. The Site was placed on the National Priorities List in 1983 as a result of the VOC contamination detected in the municipal wells.
- C. Concentrations of VOCs have exceeded 1000 parts per billion (ppb) in various City of Scottsdale ("City") production wells at IBW.
- D. Motorola, Inc. ("Motorola") operates an electronic component manufacturing facility at 8201 East McDowell Road, Scotts-

dale, Arizona, within the IBW Site. Motorola used on-site evaporation/percolation ponds for the disposal of mixed chemicals such as: solvents, waxes, and other chemical residue. On October 10, 1981, Motorola personnel reported a spill from a 500-gallon waste solvent tank. In December, 1986, there was a release of 1,1,1-trichloroethane ("1,1,1-TCA") from the Motorola facility. Soil gas samples from the facility indicate tricholorethylene ("TCE") levels up to 500 ppb and tetrachlorotheylene ("PCE") levels up to 4900 ppb. Samples from ground water monitoring wells at the Motorola facility indicate levels of TCE up to 2500 ppb.

- E. From January, 1979 to March, 1982, Beckman Instruments, Inc. ("Beckman") operated a facility at 350 North Hayden Road, Scottsdale, Arizona, within the IBW Site. The facility manufactured plainer gas discharge displays and trichloroethylene (TCE) was used as a cleaning solvent. There is evidence that Beckman disposed of TCE in on-site drains and directly onto unpaved ground. On-site soil gas samples at the former Beckman facility indicate TCE at 10 ppb and dichloroethylene ("DCE") at 19 ppb, and downgradient ground water monitoring samples indicate TCE levels up to 120 ppb.
- F. Between 1960 and 1974, Dickson Electronics ("Dickson") manufactured electrical components at various locations, including 8700 East Thomas Road, Scottsdale, Arizona, within the IBW Site. In 1974, Dickson was acquired by the Siemens Corporation ("Siemens"). Siemens continued to operate the facility at 8700 East Thomas Road until July, 1982. As part of the zener diode

manufacturing process, Dickson used TCE for wax-etching and waste removal. Dickson sent spent TCE to on-site sewers and dry wells/cesspools. Samples from on-site soil borings at the former Dickson/Siemens facility indicate TCE concentra lons up to 7,300 ppb and ground water samples at the facility show levels of TCE at 73 ppb.

- G. Marro Plating and Plainville West occupy the property at 7811 East Pierce Street, Scottsdale, Arizona, within the IBW Site. Both companies have used TCE and 1,1,1-TCA in connection with their on-site metal finishing activities. Samples from on-site soil gas testing indicate concentrations of TCE at 20 ppb, DCE concentrations of 19 ppb and 1,1,1-TCA concentrations of 6.5 ppb. Soil borings at the facility indicate 1,1,1-TCA concentrations of 24.4 ppb. Ground water samples from wells approximately 300 feet downgradient indicate TCE concentrations of TCE at 73 ppb.
- H. Edward H. Johnson did business as The Strip Joynt at 7315 East 2nd Street, within the IBW Site. From September 1972 to September 1986, Mr. Johnson used paint strippers in his onsite operations. Mr. Johnson disposed of waste paint sludge onsite at a rate of at least 5 gallons per month. Soil samples at the facility show TCE levels of 200 ppb. Ground water samples within 1/4 mile of the facility show TCE concentrations up to 1000 ppb.
- I. The Salt River Project (SRP) owns and operates a number of ground water wells in the IBW area that provide irrigation water. SRP used lubrication oil in its well pumps that contained

solvents with organic halide concentrations up to 1000 ppm.

Samples from soils near the SRP wells indicate concentrations of dichloromethane of 1200 ppb, carbon tetrachloride of 400 ppb and chloroform of 600 ppb. Ground water samples from SRP wells show TCE concentrations of 463 ppb and chloroform of 28 ppb.

- McDowell Road, Scottsdale, Arizona, within the IBW Site.

 Automobile components are machined at the facility. A September 1, 1988 Spill Incident Report states that the contents of a "cold vat solvent tank" were disposed of into an on-site drain and the subsequent odor of solvents was extremely strong. Surface soils were sampled with an "HNu" instrument by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality and found to contain a concentration of phenol of at least 5 ppm. Soil samples indicate concentrations of TCE at 30 ppb.
- K. TCE is a known animal and probable human carcinogen.

 TCE can produce central nervous system depression and irritation of the eyes, nose and throat. Exposure to high concentrations of TCE may cause nausea and vomiting. It can also cause neurological impairment, liver and kidney damage, and at high concentrations, death. The primary drinking water standard for TCE is 5 ppb.
- L. Chloroform is a known animal and suspected human carcinogen. It may also be mutagenic and cause birth defects.

 Chloroform can cause liver and kidney damage, headaches, unconsciousness and death.
 - M. Dichloromethane is a known animal and suspected human

- N. Dichloroethylene is a possible human carcinogen. Exposure to this chemical may result in liver function abnormalities, headaches, vision problems, weakness, fatigue and neurological sensory disturbances.
- O. The compounds described in Paragraph II.K, L, M, and N are organic halide compounds.
- P. People may be exposed to contaminated ground water at or from the IBW Site through ingestion, bathing, cooking, dermal contact, and other domestic uses of water.

III. Conclusions of Law

- A. Respondents are "persons" as defined in Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(21).
- B. The IBW Site is a "facility" as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(9).
- C. TCE, DCE, PCE, TCA, chloroform, dichloromethane, carbon tetrachloride and phenol are "hazardous substances" as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(14).
- D. The disposal of hazardous substances and the continued migration of those substances in the ground water, and to the ground water from contaminated soils at the IBW Site, constitute a "release" or "threatened release" of hazardous substances into

the environment as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(22).

- E. Siemens is liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), in part as a successor of Dick Jn.
- F. Respondents are liable under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a).

IV. Determinations

Based on the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, has made the following determinations:

- A. The release or threatened release of hazardous substances and pollutants or contaminants from the IBW Site may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, welfare, or the environment. This determination is embodied in Appendix A attached hereto.
- B. In order to prevent or mitigate a significant risk of harm to human health and the environment, remedial action must be taken to prevent migration or exposure to contaminated ground water at and emanating from the IBW Site.
- C. The remedial measures required by this Order are consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300.

V. Work To Be Performed

Based upon the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Determinations, Respondents are hereby ordered to implement the following measures under the direction of EPA's Project Coordinator:

A. Respondents shall finance and perform the implementation

of the Work (also known as the Operable Unit ("OU")) as defined by the ROD and this Order. "Work" means the implementation of the OU and all other tasks to be performed by Respondents pursuant to this Order. The Work shall consist of the construction and operation of a system to extract and treat ground water and a study of alternatives for expanding the system and the feasibility of recharging or reinjecting ground water at the Site.

B. Respondents shall implement and complete the Work in accordance with the National Oil and Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP), as set forth in 50 Fed. Reg. 47912 (1985), and all amendments thereto that are effective and applicable to any activity undertaken pursuant to the Order, and also in accordance with the standards, specifications, and schedules of completion set forth in or approved by EPA pursuant to this Order. All Work shall be performed by qualified employees or contractors of Respondents.

C. Requirements for the Work:

1. Ground Water Monitoring System:

Respondents shall identify a zone of contamination for the Site by means of ground water monitoring wells as specified in Appendix B and additional ground water monitoring wells, if necessary. The zone of contamination at the Site shall be deemed to include all ground water in the Middle Alluvial Unit ("MAU") and Lower Alluvial Unit ("LAU") within the Site with concentrations of any hazardous substance higher than the one specified in Table VII-2 of the ROD for that substance.

1

7

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a) Respondents shall establish a zone of capture by extracting ground water to create an inward hydraulic gradient encompassing the entire zone of contamination both laterally and vertically. The zone of capture shall be verified by ground water monitoring in accordance with the OU Ground Water Monitoring System specified in Appendix B to this Order.

- b) Respondents shall acquire access to existing ground water wells or construct new ground water wells with ground water pumping capacity sufficient to control the zone of contamination at the Site.
- c) Existing City wells may be used for the ground water extraction system only if permission of the City is obtained by Respondents.
- d) It shall be the responsibility of Rospondents to assure control of ground water pumping by others in the vicinity of the Site so that the hydraulic control of the zone of contamination is maintained at all times. In addition, the Respondents shall adjust the zone of capture to account for naturally occurring changes in the hydrological system.

3. Ground Water Treatment Plant

a) Respondents shall construct a ground water treatment plant ("Plant") to treat at least 8400 gpm of ground water according to a design to be submitted by EPA (the "Design"). Respondents shall expand the capacity of the Plant beyond 8400 gpm if necessary to control the zone of contamination or if determined to be necessary by EPA for any other reason consistent with the NCP.

- b) During operation of the Plant, all treated ground water shall meet federal and state standards for treatment plant discharge levels prescribed in Table VII-2 of the ROD and all other applicable state and Federal water quality regulations.
- c) Any measurable noncompliance with the prescribed water quality discharge levels shall be reported orally to EPA within forty-eight (48) hours of discovery and in writing within seven (7) days of discovery. The written submission shall include a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including the dates and times; and, if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance. Compliance with these reporting requirements shall not excuse any penalties resulting from noncompliance with the prescribed treatment discharge levels.
- d) As specified in the ROD, air stripping shall be used to reduce volatile organic compound ("VOC") contamination in water to meet state and federal standards as prescribed in Table VII-2 of the ROD. The air stripping towers shall be equipped with activated carbon absorption units and shall remove 95% of VOC air emissions. The exiting air shall be monitored with continuous emission monitors ("CEMs") for the measurement of VOC emissions.
- e) Prior to achievement of routine operations, Respondents shall either 1) deliver the water treated by the

Plant to the City for delivery to its water supply customers under conditions acceptable to the City, or 2) provide another onsite end use of the water in accordance with applicable law, such as reinjection.

f) Respondents shall acquire real property suitable for construction of the Plant. This requirement shall be satisfied through acquisition of the parcel described immediately below or of some other parcel of equivalent suitability, subject to the approval of EPA:

The North 330.00 feet of the West 130.00 feet of the East 491.67 feet of the West 842 feet of the South Half of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 25, Township 2 North, Range 4 East of the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian, Maricopa County, Arizona.

4. Study of Ground Water Alternatives

Respondents shall submit a study to EPA that 1) analyzes alternatives for expanding the pumping and treating capability of the system at various locations to optimize cleanup of the ground water and/or to supply additional water to the City for supply to its customers in a manner consistent with the NCP and 2) analyzes recharge and reinjection of ground water at the Site to optimize ground water cleanup, consistent with the final remedy to be determined for this Site.

VI. Schedule For The Work

Except where noted otherwise, all dates referred to in the following schedule are calendar days; however, should a deadline fall on a weekend or a Federal holiday, the deadline shall be

construed to continue to the next business day.

- A. Respondents shall begin and complete installation of the OU Ground Water Monitoring System in accordance with Appendix B to this Order.
- B. Within 30 days of the effective date of this Order,
 Respondents shall present evidence of title to the real property
 required by Paragraph V.C.3.f of this Order.
- C. Respondents shall submit a Health and Safety Plan within 30 days of the effective date of this Order.
- D. Respondents shall advise EPA of any objections to the Design within 30 days of its receipt from EPA and begin construction of the Plant within 60 days of its receipt.
- E. Respondents shall submit an Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") Plan within 45 days of receiving the Design from EPA that describes the proposed operating procedures for the Plant and any proposed modifications of the Design. In addition, the O&M Plan shall delineate any proposed modifications to the Ground Water Extraction System, including any proposed deletion of wells.
- F. Respondents shall submit a Quality Assurance/Quality Control ("QA/QC") Plan for water sampling procedures within 45 days of receiving the Design from EPA.
- G. Within 180 days of initiating construction of the Plant, Respondents shall begin startup activities. Startup activities shall include the initial period of operation of the Plant and the Ground Water Extraction System to determine equipment capabilities and actual operating parameters.
 - H. Respondents shall achieve routine operation and then, at

the Respondents' option subject to agreement by the City and approval by EPA, either a) deliver the extracted and treated water for use in the City's municipal water system, b) reinject the water, c) provide some suitable combination of delivery and reinjection or d) transfer the Plant to the City.

- I. Respondents shall provide the Study of Ground Water Alternatives required in Paragraph V.C.4 within 270 days of achieving routine operations of the Plant.
- J. Respondents shall sample treated water every seven days.

 Results of these samples shall be directly transmitted to EPA and the City of Scottsdale directly from the laboratory within seven days of the sampling event.

K. Monthly Progress Reports

Respondents shall provide written progress reports to EPA on a monthly basis. These progress reports shall describe all actions taken to comply with this Order, including a general description of the Work activities commenced or completed during the reporting period, Work activities projected to be commenced or completed during the next reporting period, and any problems encountered or anticipated by Respondents in commencing or completing the Work activities. These progress reports shall be submitted to EPA by the tenth day of each month for work done the preceding month and planned for the current month.

- L. Reports, Plans, and Other Items
- 1. All reports, plans, specifications (including discharge or emission limits), schedules, appendices, and attachments required or established by this Order are, upon approval by

EPA, incorporated into this Order. Any noncompliance with such EPA-approved reports, plans, specifications (including discharge or emission limits), schedules, appendices, or a tachments shall be considered a violation of this Order subject to penalties in accordance with Paragraph XIII of this Order.

- 2. If EPA disapproves any plan or report (other than a monthly progress report) or other item required to be submitted to EPA for approval pursuant to this Order, Respondents shall correct any deficiencies, by addressing all EPA comments, and resubmit the plan, report or item for EPA approval within ten (10) working days from the receipt of such disapproval.
- 3. Submission of a deficient plan, report or other submittal is a violation of this Order subject to penalties in accordance with Paragraph XIII whether or not resubmission corrects the deficiencies of the original submission.
- 4. The Health and Safety Plan required by Paragraph VI.C shall satisfy the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Guidance for Hazardous Waste Site Activities (October 1985 (DHH 5 NIOSH) Publication No. 85-115) and EPA's Standard Operating Safety Guides. Upon review and notice by EPA to Respondents, Respondents shall implement the Health and Safety Plan.
- 5. The QA/QC Plan required by Paragraph VI.F shall, where applicable, be prepared in accordance with current EPA guidance, Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Assurance Project Plans, QAMS-DO5/80, and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon written notification by EPA to Respondents

_2

of such amendments. The QA/QC Plan shall include elements necessary for the implementation of trial test(s) of the pumping, treatment and end-uses implemented as part of the Work. The QA/QC Plan shall include a description of the mechanism that shall also be used to varify that the pumping, treatment and end use process is operating within acceptable limits. Upon approval and notice by EPA to Respondents, Respondents shall implement the QA/QC Plan.

- dard EPA chain of custody procedures, as documented in National Enforcement Investigations Center Polices and Procedures Manual. as revised in November 1984, and the National Enforcement Investigations Center Manual Enforcement Investigations Center Manual for the Evidence Audit, published in September 1981, for all sample collection and analysis activities. In order to provide quality assurance and maintain quality control regarding all samples collected pursuant to this Consent Decree, the QA/QC Plan shall also:
- a. Ensure that all contracts with laboratories used by Respondents for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Order provide for access of EPA personnel and EPA authorized representatives to assure the accuracy of laboratory results related to the Work.
- b. Ensure that all laboratories used by Respondents for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Order perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods or methods deemed in advance satisfactory by EPA. Accepted EPA methods are documented in the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for In-

- C. Ensure that all laboratories utilized by Respondents for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Order participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program. As part of the QA/QC program and upon request by EPA, such laboratories must perform at their expense analyses of samples provided by EPA to demonstrate the quality of each laboratory's data. EPA may provide to each laboratory a maximum of four samples per year per analytical combination (e.g., four aqueous samples for analysis by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry, four soil/sediment samples for analysis by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry).
- 7. Respondents shall submit a quality assurance report to EPA on a quarterly basis on January 30th, April 30th, July 30th, and October 30th of each year. This report shall contain information that demonstrates that Respondents are complying with this paragraph and the QA/QC Plan submitted and approved pursuant to this Order.
- 8. An analytical and design data generated or obtained by Respondents that are related to the Work shall be provided to EPA within seven (7) days of any request by EPA for such data.
- 9. EPA employees and EPA's authorized representatives shall have the right, upon request, to take splits of any samples obtained by Respondents or anyone acting on their behalf in the implementation of the Work.
- 10. During design, construction, and start-up activities, Respondents shall notify EPA seven (7) days prior to

1^

any sampling conducted by them or anyone acting on their behalf.

EPA shall be notified thirty (30) days prior to the disposal of

any such sample, and EPA shall have an opportunity, upon request,

to take possession of all or a portion of such sample.

Respondents need not provide EPA with 7-day notice for sampling relating to the routine operation of the Ground Water Monitoring System. Prior to commencement of routine operation of the treatment system, however, Respondents shall provide EPA with a schedule for all routine sampling relating to the operation of the Ground Water Monitoring System. Respondents shall notify EPA seven (7) days in advance of any changes in the routine sampling schedule. Respondents need not provide EPA with advance notice of changes in the routine sampling as a result of unexpected conditions. Respondents shall, however, notify EPA within forty-eight (48) hours of the occurrence of any such conditions and shall provide EPA with the results of analysis of such sampling when the results become available.

complete the Work and to pay all claims that arise from the performance of the Work by obtaining, and presenting to EPA for approval within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order, a performance bond or letter of credit. In lieu of any of the two items listed above, Respondents may present to EPA, within twenty (20) days of the effective date of this Order, financial information sufficient to satisfy EPA that Respondents have sufficient assets to make it unnecessary to require additional assurances. If Respondents rely on financial information

for financial assurance, they shall submit such financial information on a quarterly basis. If EPA determines the financial assurances to be inadequate, Respondents shall obtain one of the two instruments listed above within 30 days of the EPA response.

- 12. Respondents shall maintain a segregated account dedicated to funding their obligations pursuant to this Order. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Order and at the beginning of every calendar quarter thereafter, Respondents shall submit an account statement to EPA demonstrating that the account is funded adequately to ensure performance of their obligations under this Order for the following calendar quarter.
- 13. All data, factual information, and documents submitted by Respondents to EPA pursuant to this Order shall be subject to public inspection. Respondents shall not assert a claim of confidentiality regarding any hydrogeological or chemical data, any data submitted in support of a remedial proposal, or any other scientific or engineering data. Respondents may assert a claim of confidentiality as to any process, method, technique, or any description thereof that Respondents claim constitutes proprietary or trade secret information developed by them or by their contractor(s) or the contractors' subcontractors. In addition, Respondents may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the information provided in connection with this Order in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7) and pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b) or applicable state law. Any such claim shall be subject to EPA's confidentiality determination procedures and, if the information

is determined to be confidential, it will be afforded the protection by EPA provided in 40 C.F.R., Part 2, Subpart B.

and documents now in their possession or control that relate in any manner to the Site, regardless of any document retention policy to the contrary, for no less than six years after the achievement of routine operations of the systems required by this Order, and shall also direct their contractors, their subcontractors, and anyone else acting on Respondents' behalf at the IBW Site to do so. Records and documents shall be preserved in the form of originals or exact copies, or in the alternative, microfiche of all originals. Upon completion of the Work, Respondents shall deliver copies of all such records and documents to the EPA Project Coordinator.

VII. Compliance With Other Laws

Respondents shall comply with all federal, state and local Laws and regulations in carrying out the terms of this Order. All hazardous substances removed from the Site shall be handled in accordance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. § 6921, et seq., the regulations promulgated under that Act, and EPA's OffSite Disposal Policy, OSWER Directive 9834.11.

VIII. Project Coordinator

EPA has appointed a Project Coordinator for the Site who has the authority vested in the Remedial Project Manager and the On-Scene Coordinator by 40 C.F.R. Part 300, et seq., including such authority as may be added by amendments to 40 C.F.R. Part 300, as

well as the authority to ensure that this Order is implemented in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations. The EPA Project Coordinator for the purposes of this Order is:

Jeff Rosenbloom T-4-4 United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 9 215 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 974-7997

The Project Coordinator for the City of Scottsdale for the purposes of this Order is:

Jim Nelson City of Scottsdale 3939 Civic Center Plaza Scottsdale, AZ 85252 (602) 994-7093

Within four days of the effective date of this Order, Respondents shall designate a Project Coordinator to monitor their progress in implementing this Order and to coordinate communication between EPA and Respondents. EPA and Respondents may change their respective Project Coordinators upon five days notice.

IX. Submittals And Approvals

All submittals and notifications to EPA required by this Order or the plans submitted hereunder shall be made to the EPA Project Coordinator at the address above.

All approvals and decisions of EPA made regarding submittals and modifications under this Order will be communicated to Respondents by the Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, or his designee. No informal advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by EPA regarding reports, plans, specifications, schedules, or any other matter shall relieve Respondents of their obligation to obtain formal approvals as required by this Order.

No approval by EPA, including approval of the Design submitted to Respondents pursuant to Paragraph V.C.3, shall constitute a warranty or any kind or relieve Respondents of their obligation to implement the Work in accordance with the requirements of the ROD, this Order, and all applicable laws and regulations.

X. Access

To the extent that access to or easements regarding property at the IBW Site is required for the proper and complete compliance with this Order, Respondents shall obtain access agreements from the owners or from persons who have control over the property within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Order. Access agreements shall provide reasonable access to Respondents and EPA and their authorized representatives. In the event that access agreements are not obtained within the 60-day period, Respondents shall notify EPA within five (5) calendar days thereafter regarding both the lack of, and their efforts to obtain, such agreements. If necessary, EPA may exercise its legal authority to assist Respondents in obtaining access. In the event EPA exercises its access authorities under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9604(e), in order to obtain access for the performance of any act required by this Order, Respondents shall be liable for reimbursement to EPA of any costs incurred in the exercise of such powers.

In the event that any Respondent transfers some or all of its property located within the boundaries of the IBW Site to a third party after the effective date of this Order, that Respon-

27

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

3

10

11

12

13

44

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dent shall (a) assure that the instrument effecting the conveyance or transfer of title contains a copy of this Order, the
ROD and the listing of the IBW Site on the National Priorities
List pursuant to CERCLA; and (b) use its best e forts to assure
access to the property for EPA and Respondents from the third
party.

Nothing in this Order shall limit any access rights that EPA or other agencies may have pursuant to law.

XI. Endangerment During Implementation

The Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA
Region 9, may determine that acts or circumstances (whether related to or unrelated to this Order) may endanger human health,
welfare or the environment and may order Respondents to stop further implementation of this Order until the endangerment is
abated. EPA may also for any other reason permitted by law order
Respondents to cease activities at the IBW Site.

XII. United States Not Liable

- A. The United States, its agencies, employees and other representatives, shall not be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property resulting from the acts or omissions of Respondents, their employees or other representatives caused by implementation of this Order or otherwise.
- B. The United States, its agencies, employees and other representatives, shall not be deemed to be a party to any contract with Respondents.

XIII. Noncompliance

A. A willful violation or failure or refusal to comply

with any terms of this Order shall subject Respondents to a civil 1 penalty of up to \$25,000 per day in which the violation occurs or 2 failure to comply continues, pursuant to the provisions of Sec-3 tion 106(b)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9606(b)(1). Failure to 4 comply with any terms of this Order without sufficient cause 5 shall also subject Respondents to punitive damages of up to three 6 times the total costs incurred by the United States for Site 7 response pursuant to Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(c)(3).

EPA may order or independently initiate additional response actions it deems necessary to protect public health, welfare, or the environment.

XIV. Opportunity to Confer

Respondents may request a conference with the Assistant Director for Superfund, Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region 9, or him staff to discuss the provisions of this Order. At any conference held pursuant to such a request, Respondents may appear by counsel or other representatives for the purpose of presenting any objections, defenses or contentions which Respondents may have or wish to make regarding this Order. The conference will be recorded by a court stenographer. If Respondents desire such a conference, they must make a request orally within four (4) working days of the effective date of this Order, and confirm the request in writing immediately. Requests shall be made to the EPA Project Coordinator or Regional Counsel.

XV. Parties Bound

This Order shall apply to and is binding upon Respondents,

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

their officers, directors, agents, employees, contractors, successors, and assigns.

XVI. Notice of Intent to Comply

Within four (4) working days of the effective date of this
Order, Respondents shall orally inform EPA of their intent to
comply with the terms of this Order. The oral notice shall be
confirmed within three (3) working days by written notice to the
Director. Failure to provide such notice in a timely manner will
be deemed by EPA to be a willful refusal to comply.

XVII. Notice to State

Notice of the issuance of this Order has been given to the
State of Arizona. EPA will consult with the Arizona Department
of Environmental Quality and Department of Water Resources, as

XVIII. Effective Date

appropriate, to ensure that the plans submitted by Respondents

pursuant to this Order are consistent with State requirements.

Notwithstanding any conferences that may be requested pursuant to the provisions of this Order, this Order shall be effective on July 31, 1989.

IT IS SO ORDERED on this $\frac{27}{\text{day}}$ of $\frac{\text{July}}{\text{July}}$, 1989 at San Francisco, California.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

25 | by

26 | Jeff Zelikson

Director, Hazardous Waste Management Division EPA, Region 9

Spenia Klydin for DZ